

To: Tina Casey[tinacasey612@gmail.com]; Valentine, Julia[Valentine.Julia@epa.gov]
From: Daguillard, Robert
Sent: Mon 8/17/2015 8:11:13 PM
Subject: RE: Inquiry from Popular Science (popsci.com)

Hullo Tina,

Are you still working on this story? Did you get a response? Please advise.

Thanks, R.

From: Tina Casey [mailto:tinacasey612@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2015 1:09 PM
To: Valentine, Julia
Cc: Daguillard, Robert
Subject: Re: Inquiry from Popular Science (popsci.com)

Thank you very much, that was fast!

Robert, if you are free later today that would be perfect. Let me know a good time to call you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 12, 2015, at 12:58 PM, Valentine, Julia <Valentine.Julia@epa.gov> wrote:

Hi Tina,

My colleague Robert Daguillard can help. I have copied him here.

Julia P. Valentine

Office of Public Affairs

U.S. EPA

202.564.2663 direct

202.740.1336 m/txt

From: Tina Casey [mailto:tinacasey612@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2015 12:57 PM
To: Valentine, Julia
Subject: Fwd: Inquiry from Popular Science (popsci.com)

Good afternoon, Julia. I'm a freelance writer on assignment for Popular Science (popsci.com), and I was referred to you from the main EPA media office. I'm following up on last week's mine waste spill in a broader context, specifically regarding remediation work at another mine in the area several years ago. I sent a note to EPA's Region 8 office this morning (see thread below) and I haven't hear back yet -- understandable, I'm sure they're very busy. Would you be able to help me connect with someone later today, or tomorrow? You can reply to this email or text (or call) my cell, 908-377-4505.

Thank you!

Tina Casey

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Tina Casey <tinacasey612@gmail.com>
Date: August 12, 2015 at 8:18:52 AM EDT
To: mylott.richard@epa.gov, way.Steven@epa.gov
Subject: Inquiry from Popular Science (popsci.com)

Good morning, Richard. I am a freelance science/technology writer on assignment for PopSci.com, with a deadline this Friday. I understand you must be very busy this week and I'm hoping that you or someone in your office can spare a few minutes to discuss the August 5 mining waste release, in the context of other cleanup work conducted in the area a number of years ago.

Specifically, I am interested in the connection between the increase in discharge from Red and Bonita, as well as two other mines, after remediation work at Sunnyside. I would like to speak with someone who can confirm the information on Steven Way's site profile (the link and relevant passage are below).

I would also like to speak with someone who can confirm the work hazards involved during site investigation at Red and Bonita, as described in the profile.

I expect that these two topics will take up the bulk of my article (I think the impact of the spill is being adequately covered elsewhere!).

If you can get back to me any time today or Thursday that would be great. You can call or text my cell, 908-377-4505, or reply to this email, tinacasey612@gmail.com.

To confirm my assignment, please contact my editor Carl Franzen, carl.franzen@popsci.com.

My freelance science/technology writing includes more than 1,000 articles since 2009, mainly for CleanTechnica.com and also for TriplePundit.com and TalkingPointsMemo.com. My complete archive is available via Twitter, <https://twitter.com/TinaMCasey>.

Thank you in advance for your time.

Tina Casey

http://www.epaosc.org/site/site_profile.aspx?site_id=8417

Several other mines in the Cement Creek basin also have draining adits. The discharge from Red and Bonita Mine, Gold King (Level 7) Mine, and Mogul Mine all experienced significant increases in flow following the plugging of the Sunnyside Gold Mine workings, including the American Tunnel, that occurred between 1998 and 2002. The Red and Bonita Mine was essentially dry during the period when the Sunnyside Gold Mine operated with an estimated flow of five gpm. Flow from the American Tunnel was reported to be approximately 1,700 gpm when it was treated, prior to the final bulkhead installation. Active water treatment also was discontinued. Water quality in the Animas River has degraded progressively since that time.